

Differences Between the ‘Audio-Lingual-Method’ and the ‘Direct Method’

Generated: Jan 26, 2026

What are the fundamental differences between the ‘Audio-Lingual-Method’ and the ‘Direct Method’?

The Audio-Lingual Method (ALM) and the Direct Method (DM) are two influential approaches to language teaching. Both aim to develop language skills effectively. However, they differ significantly in their strategies, techniques, and underlying theories.

The table below compares the fundamental differences between these two methods.

Aspect	Audio-Lingual Method (ALM)	Direct Method (DM)
Approach to Language	Based on behaviorist theory, emphasizing habit formation.	Based on natural language acquisition, emphasizing speaking.
Focus	Listening and speaking through repetition and drills.	Speaking and listening through immersion and real communication.
Grammar Teaching	Taught indirectly through patterns and drills.	Taught implicitly in context, without explicit grammar rules.
Vocabulary	Limited vocabulary is introduced in a controlled manner.	Rich and varied vocabulary taught through real-life situations.
Teaching Techniques	Repetition, drilling, and memorization of dialogues.	Conversation, question-answer sessions, and demonstration.
Use of Native Language	Native language is avoided; the target language only is used.	Native language is also avoided; the focus is entirely on the target language.
Role of Errors	Errors are discouraged and immediately corrected.	Errors are seen as part of learning and are corrected naturally.

In short, while both the Audio-Lingual Method and the Direct Method aim to improve listening and speaking skills, their approaches differ. ALM relies heavily on repetition and drills, forming habits through practice. The direct Method focuses on natural language use through real-life communication. The choice between these methods depends on the learning objectives, whether they prioritize structured practice or more natural language acquisition.